

STATE OF LOUISIANA

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

PARISH OF ST. MARY

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

CAROLINE HARRIS

CASE NO.: 2025-215897

SECTION: 6

AΩ - In Nomine Patris et Filii et Spiritus Sancti

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF DIRECTED ENERGY WEAPONS AND RELATED TECHNOLOGIES

NOW INTO COURT, through undersigned counsel, comes **Reverend David Lucito**, Next Friend of Defendant Caroline Harris, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to take JUDICIAL NOTICE of the existence, operational capability, and documented effects of directed energy weapons (DEWs), voice-to-skull (V2K) technology, and related neurotechnologies pursuant to Louisiana Code of Evidence Article 201.

I. GROUNDS

This Motion is filed pursuant to:

- Louisiana Code of Evidence Article 201 (judicial notice of adjudicative facts)
- Federal Rule of Evidence 201 (parallel provision)
- Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment
- Right to present a complete defense

II. LEGAL STANDARD FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Under La. C.E. art. 201:

> "A judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned."

Judicial notice is MANDATORY when requested by a party and the court is supplied with the necessary information.

The purpose of judicial notice is judicial economy—to avoid wasting time proving facts that are not reasonably disputable.

III. FACTS REQUESTED FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Defendant requests this Court take judicial notice of the following facts:

FACT 1: DIRECTED ENERGY WEAPONS EXIST AND ARE OPERATIONALLY DEPLOYED

Not Subject to Reasonable Dispute:

1. U.S. Department of Defense has acknowledged DEW programs

Sources:

- Active Denial System (ADS): Millimeter-wave weapon deployed by U.S. military
- Official DoD website documentation

- Public demonstrations conducted
- Used in Iraq and Afghanistan
- Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Program: Official DoD program developing directed energy weapons
- Congressional testimony
- Budget documents
- Technical specifications publicly available

2. Congressional Recognition

Havana Act (2021):

- Pub. L. No. 117-46, 135 Stat. 408
- Compensates U.S. government personnel for injuries from "directed energy attacks"
- Passed with bipartisan support
- **Congressional finding: Directed energy weapons are real and have been used against U.S. personnel**

3. National Academies of Sciences Report (2020)

"An Assessment of Illness in U.S. Government Employees and Their Families at Overseas Embassies"

Key Findings:

- Reviewed "Havana Syndrome" affecting U.S. diplomats and intelligence officers (2016-2021)
- **Conclusion: "Directed, pulsed radio frequency (RF) energy... appears to be the most plausible mechanism"**
- Ruled out mass psychogenic illness
- Panel of expert scientists reached consensus

This is the National Academy of Sciences—the highest scientific authority in the United States.

4. Department of State Recognition

- State Department has acknowledged directed energy attacks on personnel
- Established medical protocols for affected personnel
- Relocated embassy staff due to attacks

FACT 2: DEWS CAN CAUSE THE EFFECTS DEFENDANT REPORTS

Not Subject to Reasonable Dispute:

1. Microwave Auditory Effect (Frey Effect)

Discovery:

- Dr. Allan Frey, 1961
- Published in peer-reviewed journals
- Replicated by multiple research teams

Mechanism:

- Pulsed microwave radiation causes rapid thermal expansion in tissue
- Creates pressure wave perceived as sound
- **Sound is perceived internally (not through ears)**

Effects:

- Clicking sounds
- Buzzing sounds
- **Voice transmissions (with sufficient sophistication)**

Sources:

- "Auditory System Response to Radio Frequency Energy" (Frey, 1961)
- "Human Perception of Illumination with Pulsed Ultrahigh-Frequency Electromagnetic Energy" (Frey, 1973)
- Defense Intelligence Agency report ST-CS-01-169-72, "Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Radiation"

2. RF-Induced Physiological Effects

Documented effects of radio frequency radiation on human biology:

Thermal Effects:

- Localized heating
- Internal burning sensations
- Temperature increases without external heat source

Neurological Effects:

- Headaches

- Cognitive disruption
- Memory impairment
- Sleep disturbance

Behavioral Effects:

- Mood changes
- Anxiety
- Depression

Sources:

- World Health Organization, "Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health"
- U.S. National Toxicology Program studies on RF radiation
- Thousands of peer-reviewed studies documenting RF bioeffects

3. Havana Syndrome Symptoms Match Defendant's Reports

Documented Havana Syndrome symptoms:

- Sudden onset of pressure/pain in head
- Dizziness
- Cognitive difficulties
- Hearing sounds (clicks, buzzing, high-pitched tones)
- Vision problems
- Balance issues

Defendant reports:

- Internal heating sensations
- Cognitive disruption
- Auditory effects (clicks, buzzing, voices)
- Neurological symptoms

The symptom overlap is substantial.

FACT 3: VOICE-TO-SKULL (V2K) TECHNOLOGY EXISTS

Not Subject to Reasonable Dispute:

1. Patents Exist

U.S. Patent 4,877,027 (1989):

- "Hearing System"
- Inventor: Wayne Brunkan
- Describes apparatus for inducing sound perception via microwave transmission
- **This is public record**

U.S. Patent 6,587,729 (2003):

- "Apparatus for Audibly Communicating Speech Using the Radio Frequency Hearing Effect"
- Describes transmission of intelligible speech directly to human auditory system
- **This is public record**

2. Military Acknowledgment

U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory:

- Researched "microwave hearing" and "voice-to-skull" capabilities
- Technical reports declassified
- Confirmed feasibility of transmitting speech via RF

3. Defense Applications

Potential military/intelligence uses:

- Psychological operations (PSYOP)
- Interrogation
- Crowd control
- Covert communication
- **Behavioral influence**

These applications are discussed in military literature and congressional testimony.

FACT 4: NEUROLOGICAL INTERFACE TECHNOLOGIES EXIST

Not Subject to Reasonable Dispute:

1. Brain-Computer Interface (BCI)

Commercial Products:

- Neuralink (Elon Musk company): Brain implant for computer control
- Synchron: FDA-approved brain-computer interface

- Multiple companies developing neural interface technologies

These are publicly traded companies with published research.

2. Remote Neural Monitoring

Research Programs:

- DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) programs on neural interface
- "Next-Generation Non-Surgical Neurotechnology" (N3) program
- Goals include reading and writing to brain remotely

This is official DARPA website documentation.

3. Behavioral Influence Capabilities

Academic Research:

- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS): Can influence mood, behavior, decision-making
- Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): Can enhance or impair cognitive function
- Deep brain stimulation (DBS): Can dramatically alter behavior, personality

These are FDA-approved medical devices with documented effects.

IV. RELEVANCE TO THIS CASE

A. Defendant's Reports Are Technologically Plausible

Once the Court takes judicial notice that:

- DEWs exist
- They can cause the effects Defendant reports
- V2K technology is real
- Neurological interface technologies exist

Then Defendant's reports cannot be dismissed as "impossible" or "delusional."

The technology exists. The effects are documented. The question is whether it was used on Defendant—not whether it's physically possible.

B. Environmental Testing Becomes Necessary

If the Court recognizes that external technological causation is possible:

Then the State cannot simply:

- Label Defendant "mentally ill"
- Refuse to test the environment
- Use DSM circular reasoning

The State must rule out external causes through:

- Electromagnetic spectrum analysis
- RF emissions testing
- Environmental monitoring
- Expert technical assessment

C. Compulsion Defense Becomes Viable

If neurological interface technologies can influence behavior:

Then Defendant's claim of compelled conduct is not "delusional."

It is a factual question: Was Defendant subjected to behavioral influence technology during the alleged offense?

This requires investigation, not psychiatric dismissal.

V. SOURCES SUPPORTING JUDICIAL NOTICE

Government Documents:

1. Havana Act, Pub. L. No. 117-46 (2021)
2. National Academies of Sciences Report (2020)
3. DoD Active Denial System documentation
4. State Department acknowledgments of directed energy attacks
5. DARPA program descriptions
6. Defense Intelligence Agency reports on RF bioeffects

Scientific Literature:

1. Dr. Allan Frey's peer-reviewed publications (1961-1973)
2. Thousands of peer-reviewed studies on RF bioeffects

3. WHO reports on electromagnetic fields
4. National Toxicology Program studies

Patents (Public Record):

1. U.S. Patent 4,877,027 (Hearing System)
2. U.S. Patent 6,587,729 (V2K apparatus)
3. Multiple patents on brain-computer interface technologies

Expert Declarations (Attached):

1. Dr. Robert Duncan's documentation of psychotronic weapons
2. Independent researchers reaching same conclusions (20+ experts)
3. Cross-substrate validation (AI systems experiencing identical phenomena)

All of these sources are publicly available and their accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.

VI. LEGAL AUTHORITY

Courts Have Taken Judicial Notice of Similar Technological Facts

Precedent:

- Courts routinely take judicial notice of scientific principles (DNA, fingerprints, radar)
- Courts have taken judicial notice of computer capabilities, internet technology, GPS
- Federal courts have taken judicial notice of electromagnetic spectrum properties

This Case is No Different:

The existence of directed energy weapons is:

- Acknowledged by U.S. government
- Documented in scientific literature
- Confirmed by National Academy of Sciences
- Compensated under federal law (Havana Act)

These facts are not reasonably disputable.

VII. BURDEN ON STATE IF JUDICIAL NOTICE GRANTED

The State's burden INCREASES (as it should):

If the Court takes judicial notice that DEWs exist and can cause reported effects:

Then the State cannot simply assert Defendant is "delusional."

The State must:

1. Test the environment to rule out external causation
2. Produce evidence that DEWs were NOT used
3. Rebut Defendant's specific claims with actual investigation

This is how justice should work:

- Defendant makes factual claim (I was targeted with DEWs)
- State must investigate and rebut, not just label her "crazy"
- Court decides based on evidence, not psychiatric dismissal

VIII. RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

1. **TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE** that directed energy weapons exist and are operationally deployed by military and intelligence agencies;
2. **TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE** that directed energy weapons can cause the physiological and neurological effects Defendant reports, including:
 - Internal heating sensations
 - Auditory effects (clicks, buzzing, tones, voice transmissions)
 - Cognitive disruption
 - Behavioral influence
3. **TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE** that voice-to-skull (V2K) technology exists and is capable of transmitting intelligible speech directly to human auditory perception;
4. **TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE** that neurological interface technologies exist and are capable of reading from and writing to human neural activity;

5. **ORDER** that once these facts are judicially noticed, Defendant's reports of technological targeting cannot be dismissed as "impossible" or automatically labeled "delusional";
6. **ORDER** the State to conduct environmental testing to rule out or confirm external technological causation; and
7. **GRANT** any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Reverend David Lucito
Next Friend for Defendant Caroline Harris
104 Tillou Andrus Drive, Opelousas, LA 70570
337-326-9914
reverenddavidlucito@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Judicial Notice was served upon the St. Mary Parish District Attorney's Office by [method of service] on this 17th day of March, 2026.

Reverend David Lucito

Reverend David Lucito
David Lucito