
18715, 1, 27/1

TO-VIA

SUBJ# Bluebird activity SUBJ# SUBJ#

Under instructions of the Chief of LAS, the B. team of the period 11 January and 24 January 1951 and participated in the interrogation

of an individual as the request of the FROBLEM



Subject was interrogated &b great length after his arrest by officers required this agency but Subject gave no retirent details and furnished only information which appeared to be raise and evasive.

DUR Per masic suppositions therefore presented themselves for solution:

- (A) Was the subject insane?
- (3) Was the entire situation inspired? 3
- (G) was the entire situation a well worked out plan to preference of ANN money (or services) by fraud?
- (D) Was the entire situation legitimate?

Stemming from the above suppositions were many collateral questions or problems among which was the following :

- (A) How did subject obtain his technical information?
- (B) What was the <u>present</u> situation and whereabouts regarding all individuals involved?
- (C) Where was subject from mid-August to his arrest in Dec,
- (D) Exact and minutes description of the principals in the case.
- (E) Exact and minutes description of the instruments involved. Etc.

Since direct interregation had not produced full answers or explanations for the above, the B team was fally briefed on the case and subject was made available for the resultance. 14.4 ALAN 19065. 3/3

## Technical Operations

again

Subject was interrogated by B. team members and polygraph test was used as cover for B. techniques in each instant.

Subject was informed that the writer was going to retest subject on the polygraph to confirm certain previous statements. After machine was set tup, two (fake) tests were made and these tests showed a "Recovering condition" on the part of the subject. Who had, immediately prior to the polygraph test, checked subjects physicial condition was they called in

and prescribed a "homsde" to "quiet subjects nerves" to insure a better polygraph test. This Deronide" was infact 400 of sodium amytol. Writer than talked to subject and began preparations for another polygraph test 400 mill amytol took effect and subject went: According to the content of t

In general, each interregation used the above, cover, to start the each interregation, used operation, only minor details varied in interregations of the 16th and 18th.

The entire body of the interrogations was recorded under supervision using reties and tape recorders with microphone held by writer close to the subjects mouth.

Note- See technical report attached submitted by A

First Interrogation (15 Jan 1951)

By agreement with agency personnel the concerned, the farst interrogation was armed at general information and testing of the subjects responses and behavior pattern under Length of the actual interrogation was about I hour. In general under subject restated his basic story concerning the individuals and instruments involved; how this original situation came about; what various moves he had made; etc. etc. Subject also described in general detail principals involved in them present and future activities better see, instruments, locations. Subject fulharmore discussed his whereabouts during the period from mid-August 1950 to about the middle of December 1950

Subject gave some other information both extraheous and directly related
to the instant case. Interregation was concluded with subject gradually
fading into sleep and unable to sustain intelligent conversation.

j-7-3/

second Interrogation (16 Jan 1951)

The second interrogation was aimed at a more specific development of the "new" and reported statements given by the subject in the first interrogation.

ANTITITICALIZED

of details regarding previous activities, locations, individuals and other matters both patinent and extrateous.

Note-Interrogation of subject was postponed one day (16 to 18 Jan) to give all individuals concerned an opportunity to review the information obtained, to secure replies and instructions from Mashingtion to cables sent regarding the first and seems interrogations; and to permit full "recovery" on the part of the Subject to the techniques.

Third (final) Interrogation (18 Jan 1951)

Note - TRANSCRIPTION of the wine and tope Keepinghy one having prepared.

...