SG1A

Approved #6%Release2000/08/07.: ENARDP96-00788R00030004001

ASSETS U SESSION		TARGETING MATERIAL UTILIZED	KNOWLEDGE PROVIDED TO INTERVIEWER FOR SESSION	FEEDBACK TO SOURCE FOLLOWING SESSION		TES FORWARDEI		ANALYST COMMENTS
CD10	#46	a. UTM Coordinates	Interviewer was aware of	None.	15 May 80	<u> 19 May 80</u>	lst UTM: Wide clear	lst UTM: Police
		for 3 major road junc-	only the question to ask				area. Tree line, under	Public security
	SG1A	tions in	viewer as well as UTM				military observation.	plausible.
		b. Viewer was only	locations				No mention was made	But description
		provided UTM's and was					by viewer of any vehicle	sis questionable.
		asked to target pre-					or roads or anything	
		cognatively 1000 hrs,					else.	
		25 May 80.					2nd UTM: Tank quarding	2nd UTM: Unlikely
		c. Question asked of					entrance to L <b>-</b> shaped	tank was in this
		viewer was – "what is	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~				bldg. Factory type bldg	area <b>–</b> none garris <b>–</b>
		activity?"	OBSERVATION: UNLESS OVER	HEAD OR OTHER INTEL PR	ODUCT IS		Reported fully loaded	oned in area.
		nove the ball of the state of the	AVAILABLE FOR THE SPECIFI	ED TIME & DATE TARGETE	D IT IS VI	RY	tracked vehicles moving	Unlikely tracks
		Question by ITAC:	DIFFICULT TO EITHER CONFI	RM OR DENY VALIDITY OF	REMOTE		through the area.	transited this area
Party in the local data of the		Heavy military convoys	VIEWING INFORMATION.				3rd UTM: A maintenance	on this date.
		through road junctions					area for aircraft.	3rd UTM: Verbal
		would provide an indi-					(This was reported ver-	response on this
		cation of possible					bally as a probable miss	tgt was in agree <b>-</b>
		military action immi-						ment that viewer
		nent in nature. Can						was not at target.
		these junctions be						
		targeted?						

